arXiv:1604.05093v5 [math-ph] 21 Oct 2016

Quantum entropy derived from first principles

Frank Hansen

Abstract

The most fundamental properties of quantum entropy are derived by considering the union of two ensembles. We discuss the limits these properties put on an entropy measure and obtain that they uniquely determine the form of the entropy functional up to normalisation. In particular, the result implies that all other properties of quantum entropy may be derived from these first principles.

MSC2010: 81P15; 47A63
Key words and phrases: quantum entropy; first principles.

1 Introduction

Von Neumann suggested in 1927 the function

$$S(\rho) = -\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho\log\rho$$

as a measure of quantum entropy, where ρ is the state of the ensemble under consideration. The form of the entropy measure was derived from a gedanken experiment in phenomenological thermodynamics. One may define a more general "entropy measure" by setting

$$S_f(\rho) = -\mathrm{Tr}\,f(\rho)$$

for an arbitrary convex function $f\colon(0,\infty)\to\mathbf{R}$. It is known [10, Section E] that additivity

$$S_f(\rho_1\otimes\rho_2) = S_f(\rho_1) + S_f(\rho_2)$$

then implies that f is of the form $f(t) = t\log t$ up to a positive multiplicative constant. We will discuss characterisations of entropy that are more related to physical principles. The von Neumann entropy enjoys two basic properties:

(i) The entropy of the union of two ensembles is greater than or equal to the average entropy of the component ensembles.

(ii) The incremental information increases when two ensembles are united.

The first principle is satisfied by the requirement that f is convex. Indeed, the "entropy measure" defined above then has the property that the map

$$\rho \to S_f(\rho) \tag{1}$$

is concave; and this is the mathematical expression of the first principle. The second principle is interpreted as convexity of the map

$$\rho \to S_f(\rho_1) - S_f(\rho) \tag{2}$$

in positive definite operators on a bipartite system $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ where ρ_1 denotes the partial trace of ρ on $\mathcal{H}_1$. Lieb and Ruskai obtained that the von Neumann entropy enjoys this property [8, Theorem 1], cf. also [9] for a broader discussion and [7] for a truly elementary proof.

The main result of this paper is that the von Neumann entropy is uniquely determined up to normalisation by the requirements in (1) and (2) representing the first principles (i) and (ii). This clarifies a long-standing problem in quantum physics. If there were other substantial different ways of defining quantum entropy, then it could happen that some properties derived for a specific physical system were mere mathematical artefacts of the chosen entropy function. They would be in accordance with the underlying physical principles stated above, but they would also reflect an arbitrary mathematical choice. We now know that this cannot happen.

The von Neumann entropy may increase when passing to a subsystem, cf. the remarks in (d) of [9]. This is called the intuitive defect in quantum physics. We now realise that this defect cannot be remedied by possibly adopting an alternative definition of quantum entropy.

2 Entropic functions

Definition 2.1. *Let $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a convex function. We say that f is entropic if the function*

$$F(\rho) = -\operatorname{Tr} f(\rho_1) + \operatorname{Tr} f(\rho) \tag{3}$$

is convex in positive definite operators ρ on any finite dimensional bipartite system $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ where ρ_1 denotes the partial trace of ρ on $\mathcal{H}_1$.

The function $f(t) = t \log t$ is thus entropic. We recall that a quantum channel is represented by a completely positive trace preserving map $\Phi\colon B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ between Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Asume $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ is entropic. The entropy gain*

$$\rho \to -\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} f\big(\Phi(\rho)\big) + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} f(\rho) \tag{4}$$

over a quantum channel Φ is then convex.

Proof. By Stinespring's theorem there exists a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{R}$ and a linear map $W\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ such that $W^*W = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$\Phi(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} W x W^*$$

for every $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$. We may assume $f(0) = 0$ and then trivially

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{R}} f(W\rho W^*) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} f(\rho).$$

It now follows by (3) that

$$\rho \to -\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} f\big(\Phi(\rho)\big) + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} f(\rho) = -\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} f(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} W\rho W^*) + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{R}} f(W\rho W^*)$$

is convex. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ be an entropic function. The operator function of k variables*

$$G(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k) = -\mathrm{Tr}\, f(\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_k) + \mathrm{Tr}\, f(\rho_1) + \cdots + \mathrm{Tr}\, f(\rho_k) \tag{5}$$

is for any natural number k convex in positive definite operators $\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k$ on any finite dimensional Hilbert space.

Proof. We may assume $k \geq 2$ and consider the bipartite system

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes l^2(0,1,\dots,k-1) = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$$

where the partial trace is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{pmatrix}_1 = a_{11} + a_{22} + \cdots + a_{kk}.$$

We now apply the assumption of convexity of the function

$$A \to -\mathrm{Tr}_1 f(A_1) + \mathrm{Tr}_{12} f(A)$$

in the convex set of positive definite diagonal block matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & \rho_n \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathcal{H}$, and obtain that the operator function

$$G(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k) = G(A) = -\mathrm{Tr}_1 f(A_1) + \mathrm{Tr}_{12} f(A)$$
$$= -\mathrm{Tr}_1 f(\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_k) + \mathrm{Tr}_1 f(\rho_1) + \cdots + \mathrm{Tr}_1 f(\rho_k)$$

is convex. □

2.1 Subentropic functions

Definition 2.4. *Let $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a convex function. We say that f is subentropic of order k if the function*

$$G(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k) = -\mathrm{Tr}\, f(\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_k) + \mathrm{Tr}\, f(\rho_1) + \cdots + \mathrm{Tr}\, f(\rho_k) \tag{6}$$

is convex in positive definite operators $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k$ on any finite dimensional Hilbert space. We say that f is subentropic if it is subentropic of all orders.

We notice that an entropic function is subentropic.

3 Analysis of subentropic functions

3.1 The Fréchet differential

Let $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function. The action of the Fréchet differential $df(\rho)$ in h, where ρ is positive definite and h is self-adjoint, may be defined by setting

$$df(\rho)h = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(\rho + \varepsilon h) - f(\rho)}{\varepsilon}\,.$$

Notice that $\rho + \varepsilon h$ eventually is positive definite. The construction therefore depends on spectral theory. We shall only work with finite dimensional Hilbert spaces in which case the Fréchet differential may be expressed as the Hadamard product

$$df(\rho)h = L_f(\rho) \circ h$$

of h and the Löwner matrix $L_f(\rho)$ in a basis that diagonalises ρ. This readily extends the action of the Fréchet differential to operators that are not necessarily self-adjoint. Consider now the bivariate function

$$k(t,s) = \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} = \int_0^1 f'(\lambda t + (1-\lambda)s)\, d\lambda \qquad t, s > 0.$$

If L_ρ and R_ρ denote left and right multiplication with operators ρ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of finite dimension n, then

$$\operatorname{Tr} h^* df(\rho)h = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |(he_i \mid e_j)|^2 \frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = \operatorname{Tr} h^*\, k(L_\rho, R_\rho)h,$$

where the intermediary calculation is carried out in an orthonormal basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ of eigenvectors of ρ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ counted with multiplicity. We may thus identify the Fréchet differential $df(\rho)$ with $k(L_\rho, R_\rho)$. If f has strictly positive derivative, then k is positive. The Fréchet differential is then a positive definite operator with inverse

$$df(\rho)^{-1} = k(L_\rho, R_\rho)^{-1}$$

for positive definite ρ. We note that $df(\rho)$ acts as multiplication $df(t)h = f'(t)h$ with $f'(t)$, when $\rho = t$ is a multiple of the identity.

3.2 Subentropic functions are smooth

Theorem 3.1. *A function subentropic of order two is operator convex, the derivative is operator monotone, and the second derivative is convex.*

Proof. Assume $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ is subentropic of order two. The function

$$G(\rho, \sigma) = -\operatorname{Tr} f(\rho + \sigma) + \operatorname{Tr} f(\rho) + \operatorname{Tr} f(\sigma)$$

is then by definition convex in positive definite ρ and σ. By fixing $\sigma > 0$ we obtain that the function of one variable

$$G(\rho) = -\operatorname{Tr} f(\rho + \sigma) + \operatorname{Tr} f(\rho) \tag{7}$$

is convex. Let φ be a positive and even C^∞-function defined in the real line, vanishing outside the closed interval $[-1,1]$ and normalised such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi(t)\,dt = 1.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider the regularisation

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi(s) f(t-\varepsilon s)\,ds \qquad t > \varepsilon$$

which is a convex function. By applying the convexity in (7) we furthermore obtain that the function

$$\begin{aligned} G_\varepsilon(\rho) &= -\mathrm{Tr}\, f_\epsilon(\rho+\sigma) + \mathrm{Tr}\, f_\epsilon(\rho) \\ &= \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi(s) \mathrm{Tr}\left(-f(\rho+\sigma-\varepsilon s) + f(\rho-\varepsilon s)\right) ds \end{aligned}$$

is convex in positive definite $\rho > \varepsilon$. By the equivalent expression

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\infty \varphi\left(\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}\right) f(s)\,ds \qquad t > \varepsilon,$$

we realise that f_ε is infinitely differentiable. By replacing σ with $t\sigma$ for a real $t > 0$ we realise that the function

$$\rho \to \frac{-\mathrm{Tr}\, f_\epsilon(\rho+t\sigma) + \mathrm{Tr}\, f_\epsilon(\rho)}{t}$$

is convex, and since f_ϵ is continuously differentiable we obtain by letting t tend to zero that the Fréchet derivative

$$\rho \to -\mathrm{Tr}\, df_\epsilon(\rho)\sigma = -\mathrm{Tr}\, f_\varepsilon'(\rho)\sigma$$

is convex in positive definite $\rho > \varepsilon$ for all positive definite σ. It follows that f_ε' is operator concave in the interval (ε, ∞). Since f_ε' is non-decreasing we furthermore obtain that f_ε' is operator monotone. The function $t \to f_\varepsilon'(t+\varepsilon)$ is thus operator monotone in the positive half-line and may therefore be written on the canonical form

$$f_\varepsilon'(t+\varepsilon) = \alpha + \beta t + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{t+\lambda}\right) d\nu_\varepsilon(\lambda) \qquad t > 0$$

for some non-negative measure ν_ε with

$$\int_\varepsilon^\infty (1+\lambda^2)^{-1} d\nu_\varepsilon(\lambda) < \infty$$

and constants α, β (depending on ε) with $\beta \geq 0$, cf. [5, Theorem 5.2]. From this formula it readily follows that f_ε is operator convex. Since by convexity f is continuous, it is well-known that f_ε eventually converges uniformly towards f on any compact subset of $(0,\infty)$ as ε tends to zero. Therefore, f is operator convex (indeed, point-wise convergence would suffice). As a consequence, f is infinitely differentiable and f'_ϵ eventually converges towards f' as ε tends to zero. We conclude that f' is operator monotone and that f'' is convex. □

We proved that a subentropic function is operator convex; therefore it is also real analytic. Furthermore, its second derivative is either constantly zero or strictly positive.

Theorem 3.2. *A function $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ is subentropic if and only if it is subentropic of order two.*

Proof. Suppose that f is subentropic of order two. Since f is (even infinitely) differentiable we may apply the chain rule to the function $G(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k)$ defined in (6) and calculate the first Fréchet differential

$$\begin{aligned}
dG(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k)h &= d_1G(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k)h_1 + \cdots + d_kG(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k)h_k \\
&= -\operatorname{Tr}_1 df(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)h_1 + \operatorname{Tr}_1 df(\rho_1)h_1 \\
&\qquad +\cdots \\
&\qquad -\operatorname{Tr}_1 df(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)h_k + \operatorname{Tr}_1 df(\rho_k)h_k \\
&= \operatorname{Tr}_1\Big[-df(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)(h_1+\cdots+h_k) + df(\rho_1)h_1 + \cdots + df(\rho_k)h_k\Big] \\
&= \operatorname{Tr}_1\Big[-f'(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)(h_1+\cdots+h_k) + f'(\rho_1)h_1 + \cdots + f'(\rho_k)h_k\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

in vectors $h = (h_1, \dots, h_k)$ of self-adjoint matrices. We continue to calculate

the second Fréchet differential

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d^2G(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k)(h,h) = d\big(dG(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k)h\big)h \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i\big(dG(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k)h\big)h_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i\big(\mathrm{Tr}_1\Big[-f'(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)(h_1+\cdots+h_k)\Big]\big)h_i \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i\big(\mathrm{Tr}_1\Big[f'(\rho_1)h_1+\cdots+f'(\rho_k)h_k\Big]\big)h_i \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{Tr}_1(h_1+\cdots+h_k)df'(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)h_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\mathrm{Tr}_1 h_i df'(\rho_i)h_i \\
&= -\mathrm{Tr}_1(h_1+\cdots+h_k)df'(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)(h_1+\cdots+h_k) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\mathrm{Tr}_1 h_i df'(\rho_i)h_i
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus obtain that the function G defined in (6) is convex if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}_1(h_1+\cdots+h_k)df'(\rho_1+\cdots+\rho_k)(h_1+\cdots+h_k) \\
&\le \mathrm{Tr}_1\Big[h_1df'(\rho_1)h_1+\cdots+h_kdf'(\rho_k)h_k\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

for positive definite $\rho_1,\dots,\rho_k$ and self-adjoint $h_1,\dots,h_k$. However, since G by assumption is convex for $k=2$ we derive that

$$
\mathrm{Tr}_1(h_1+h_2)df'(\rho_1+\rho_2)(h_1+h_2) \le \mathrm{Tr}_1\Big[h_1df'(\rho_1)h_1+h_2df'(\rho_2)h_2\Big] \tag{9}
$$

for positive definite ρ_1,ρ_2 and self-adjoint h_1,h_2. By properly setting parantheses we now derive (8) by repeated application of (9). This shows that f is subentropic of all orders and therefore subentropic. □

Theorem 3.3. *A twice continuously differentiable function $f\colon(0,\infty)\to\mathbf{R}$ with strictly positive second derivative is subentropic if and only if*

$$
df'(\rho+\sigma)^{-1} \ge df'(\rho)^{-1} + df'(\sigma)^{-1} \tag{10}
$$

for positive definite operators ρ and σ on any finite dimensional Hilbert space.

Proof. Convexity of the function

$$G(\rho,\sigma) = -\operatorname{Tr} f(\rho+\sigma) + \operatorname{Tr} f(\rho) + \operatorname{Tr} f(\sigma)$$

is by (9) equivalent to the inequality

$$\operatorname{Tr}(a+b)df'(\rho+\sigma)(a+b) \leq \operatorname{Tr} a df'(\rho)a + \operatorname{Tr} b df'(\sigma)b$$

for positive definite ρ,σ and self-adjoint a,b. Since the second Fréchet differential of G is a symmetric bilinear form this is again equivalent to the inequality

$$\operatorname{Tr}(a+b)^* df'(\rho+\sigma)(a+b) \leq \operatorname{Tr} a^* df'(\rho)a + \operatorname{Tr} b^* df'(\sigma)b \tag{11}$$

for positive definite ρ,σ and arbitrary a,b. Ando [1, Remark on page 208] noticed without proof that the harmonic mean $H_2(A,B)$ of two positive definite matrices A and B may be characterised as the maximum of all Hermitian operators C such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & C \\ C & C \end{pmatrix} \leq 2 \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a proof we refer the reader to [4, Footnote 4]. The condition in (11) may equivalently be written as

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} df'(\rho+\sigma) & df'(\rho+\sigma) \\ df'(\rho+\sigma) & df'(\rho+\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\mathrm{Tr}}$$

$$\leq \left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} df'(\rho) & 0 \\ 0 & df'(\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\mathrm{Tr}}$$

for positive definite ρ,σ and arbitrary a,b. The condition in (11) is thus equivalent to the inequality

$$df'(\rho+\sigma) \leq H_2\Big(\frac{1}{2}df'(\rho), \frac{1}{2}df'(\sigma)\Big) = \frac{1}{2}H_2\big(df'(\rho), df'(\sigma)\big) \tag{12}$$

for positive definite ρ and σ; where we used that the harmonic mean is positively homogeneous. Since the inverse of the harmonic mean satisfies

$$H_2(A,B)^{-1} = \frac{A^{-1}+B^{-1}}{2}$$

we obtain by taking the inverses of both sides of inequality (12) the equivalent inequality

$$df'(\rho+\sigma)^{-1} \geq df'(\rho)^{-1} + df'(\sigma)^{-1}$$

for positive definite ρ, σ. We have proved that f is subentropic of order two if and only if (10) holds. The assertion now follows from Theorem 3.2. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a non-affine subsentropic function. The positive and infinitely differentiable function*

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{f''(t)} \qquad t > 0$$

is super-additive in the sense that

$$g(t+s) \geq g(t) + g(s) \qquad \text{for} \quad t, s > 0. \tag{13}$$

It is increasing and may be extended to a continuous function with $g(0) = 0$, meaning that $f''(t) \to \infty$ as t tends to zero.

Proof. Since f is non-affine the second derivative f'' is strictly positive, so g is well-defined. The super-additivity follows from Theorem 3.3. Since g is positive the super-additivity implies that g is increasing. The limit

$$g(0) = \lim_{s\to 0} g(s) = 0$$

follows by letting s tend to zero in (13). □

Theorem 3.5. *The convex function $f(t) = -\log t$, defined in the positive half-line, is subentropic; meaning that the multivariate operator function*

$$(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k) \to \operatorname{Tr} \log(\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_k) - \operatorname{Tr}\big(\log \rho_1 + \cdots + \log \rho_k\big)$$

is convex in positive definite operators on a finite dimensional Hilbert space.

Proof. The derivative $f'(t) = -t^{-1}$ has strictly positive derivative. The Fréchet differential $df'(\rho)$ is therefore a strictly positive operator on $B(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the underlying Hilbert space. Since $df'(\rho)h = \rho^{-1}h\rho^{-1}$ we obtain

$$df'(\rho)^{-1}h = \rho h \rho.$$

The function $f(t) = -\log t$ is thus subentropic, by Theorem 3.3, if

$$\operatorname{Tr} h^*(\rho+\sigma)h(\rho+\sigma) \geq \operatorname{Tr} h^*\rho h\rho + \operatorname{Tr} h^*\sigma h\sigma$$

for positive definite ρ, σ and arbitrary h. But this inequality reduces to

$$\operatorname{Tr} h^*\rho h\sigma + \operatorname{Tr} h^*\sigma h\rho \geq 0$$

which is trivially satisfied. □

4 The main result

Matrix entropies were introduced by Chen and Tropp as a tool to obtain concentration inequalities for random matrices [3], and their representing functions may be characterised in various ways [6]. Prominent examples are the functions $f(t) = t \log t$ and $f(t) = t^p$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Theorem 4.1. *An entropic function is a matrix entropy.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and assume that f is entropic. By Lemma 2.2 we know that the entropic gain

$$F(\rho) = -\operatorname{Tr} f(\Phi(\rho)) + \operatorname{Tr} f(\rho)$$

over any quantum channel $\Phi\colon B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ is a convex function in positive definite ρ. Since f is twice continuously differentiable, F is twice Fréchet differentiable on finite dimensional spaces, and the first Fréchet differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dF(\rho)h &= -\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} df\big(\Phi(\rho)\big)\Phi(h) + \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} df(\rho)h \\ &= -\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} f'\big(\Phi(\rho)\big)\Phi(h) + \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} f'(\rho)h. \end{aligned}$$

The second Fréchet differential is then calculated to be

$$d^2F(\rho)(h,h) = -\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} \Phi(h) df'\big(\Phi(\rho)\big)\Phi(h) + \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} h df'(\rho)h$$

in positive definite ρ and self-adjoint h. The convexity condition for F is therefore equivalent to the inequality

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} \Phi(h)^* df'\big(\Phi(\rho)\big)\Phi(h) \leq \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} h^* df'(\rho)h = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}} \Phi(h^* df'(\rho)h)$$

for positive definite ρ and arbitrary h, where we again used that the second Fréchet differential is a symmetric bilinear form. Consider the block matrices

$$U = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defined on the direct sum $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and put

$$\Phi(X) = UXU^* + VXV^*$$

for $X \in B(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$. Then Φ is completely positive and satisfies

$$\Phi\begin{pmatrix} \rho & a \\ b & \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho+\sigma}{2} - \frac{a+b}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\rho+\sigma}{2} + \frac{a+b}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We notice that Φ is trace preserving. In particular, for diagonal block matrices

$$\Phi\begin{pmatrix} \rho & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\rho+\sigma}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so Φ is also unital. Setting

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} \rho & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

we readily obtain from the definition of the Fréchet differential that

$$h^* df'(A)h = \begin{pmatrix} a^* df'(\rho)a & 0 \\ 0 & b^* df'(\sigma)b \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus

$$\Phi\big(h^* df'(A)h\big) = \frac{a^* df'(\rho)a + b^* df'(\sigma)b}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand

$$\Phi(h)^* df'\big(\Phi(A)\big)\Phi(h) = \Big[\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big)^* df'\Big(\frac{\rho+\sigma}{2}\Big)\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big)\Big]\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By convexity of F and by taking the trace and dividing by 2, we thus obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big)^* df'\Big(\frac{\rho+\sigma}{2}\Big)\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\, a^* df'(\rho)a + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\, b^* df'(\sigma)b.$$

The map

$$(\rho, h) \to \mathrm{Tr}\, h^* df'(\rho)h$$

is thus mid-point convex and by continuity therefore convex. This implies that f is a matrix entropy [6, Theorem 2.1]. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let f be an entropic function normalised such that $f(1) = 0$, $f'(1) = 1$ and $f''(1) = 1$. Then $f(t) = t \log t$ for $t > 0$.*

Proof. By the preceding theorem it follows that f is a matrix entropy. The defining notion of a non-affine matrix entropy given by f is concavity in positive definite ρ of the map

$$\rho \to df'(\rho)^{-1},$$

cf. [3, Definition 2.2] and [6, Definition 1.1]. In particular, we obtain that the positive function

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{f''(t)} \qquad t > 0$$

is concave. Since f is also subentropic we know from Corollary 3.4 that g is super-additive with continuous extension to $[0, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{g(t+s) - g(t)}{s} \geq \frac{g(s) - g(0)}{s} \qquad t, s > 0,$$

and this inequality contradicts concavity of g for $s < t$ unless g is affine. Since $g(0) = 0$ there exists thus a constant $b > 0$ such that

$$f''(t)^{-1} = g(t) = bt \qquad t > 0,$$

and since $f''(1) = 1$ we obtain that

$$f''(t) = \frac{1}{t} \qquad t > 0.$$

Since $f'(1) = 1$ we thus obtain $f'(t) = \log t + 1$, and since $f(1) = 0$ finally

$$f(t) = t \log t \qquad t > 0$$

which is the assertion. □

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank Bernhard Baumgartner and the anonymous referees for encouragement and for valuable suggestions. The author also acknowledges support from the Japanese government Grant-in-Aid for scientific research 26400104.

References

[1] T. Ando. Concavity of certain maps of positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 26:203–241, 1979.

[2] H. Araki and E. Lieb. Entropy inequalities. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 18:160–170, 1970.

[3] R.A. Chen and J.A. Tropp. Subadditivity of matrix φ-entropy and concentration of random matrices. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 19(27):1–30, 2014.

[4] F. Hansen. Extensions of Lieb's concavity theorem. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 124:87–101, 2006.

[5] F. Hansen. The fast track to Löwner's theorem. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438:4557–4571, 2013.

[6] F. Hansen and Z. Zhang. Characterisation of matrix entropies. *Lett Math Phys*, 105:1399–1411, 2015.

[7] Frank Hansen. A note on quantum entropy. *Math Phys Anal Geom*, 19(7):1–4, 2016.

[8] E. Lieb and M.B. Ruskai. Proof of the strong subadditivity of quantum-mechanical entropy. *J. Math. Phys.*, 14:1938–1941, 1973.

[9] E.H. Lieb and M.B. Ruskai. A fundamental property of quantum-mechanical entropy. *Physical Review Letters*, 30(10):434–436, 1973.

[10] A. Wehrl. General properties of entropy. *Rev. Modern Phys.*, 50:221–260, 1978.